1	DINA L. SANTOS, SBN 204200	
2	Dina L. Santos, A Professional Law Corp. 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 802	
3	Sacramento, California 95814	
	Telephone: (916) 447-0160	
5	Attorney for Ausencio Alvarez Cortez	
6	Transmiss Trivares Corres	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	AD AMERICA	) Case No.: 2:20-CR-229 TLN
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
11	Plaintiff,	CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE
12	vs.	Date: October 14, 2021 Time: 9:30 a.m.
13	AUSENCIO ALVAREZ CORTEZ, ANGEL ISMAEL RAMIREZ,	Time: 9:30 a.m. Judge: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	STIPULATION	
	STI	<u>PULATION</u>
17		PULATION  h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly,
		h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly,
18	The United States of America through	h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly, vith Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for
18 19	The United States of America through Assistant United States Attorney, together w	h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly, vith Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for
18 19 20	The United States of America through Assistant United States Attorney, together was Ausencio Alvarez Cortez, and Attorney Ada Ramirez, hereby stipulate the following:	h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly, vith Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for
18 19 20 21	The United States of America through Assistant United States Attorney, together was Ausencio Alvarez Cortez, and Attorney Ada Ramirez, hereby stipulate the following:  1. The Status Conference was previously	h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly, with Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for am Pennella, Counsel for Angel Ismael
18 19 20 21 22	The United States of America through Assistant United States Attorney, together was Ausencio Alvarez Cortez, and Attorney Ada Ramirez, hereby stipulate the following:  1. The Status Conference was previously the parties now move to continue the	h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly, with Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for am Pennella, Counsel for Angel Ismael y set for July 22, 2021. By this stipulation,
18 19 20 21 22 23	The United States of America through Assistant United States Attorney, together was Ausencio Alvarez Cortez, and Attorney Ada Ramirez, hereby stipulate the following:  1. The Status Conference was previously the parties now move to continue the	h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly, with Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for am Pennella, Counsel for Angel Ismael  y set for July 22, 2021. By this stipulation, Status Conference to October 14, 2021, at an July 22, 2021, and October 14, 2021, under
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Assistant United States Attorney, together was Ausencio Alvarez Cortez, and Attorney Ada Ramirez, hereby stipulate the following:  1. The Status Conference was previously the parties now move to continue the 9:30 a.m. and to exclude time between	h its undersigned counsel, James Conolly, with Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for am Pennella, Counsel for Angel Ismael  y set for July 22, 2021. By this stipulation, Status Conference to October 14, 2021, at an July 22, 2021, and October 14, 2021, under a counsel time to prepare).

Client, review the discovery, conduct investigation, and discuss a potential

27

28

resolution.

- b. Counsel for the Defendant believes the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- c. The Government does not object to the continuance.
- d. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- e. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A) within which trial must commence, the time period of July 22, 2021, and October 14, 2021, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), corresponding to Local Code T-4 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 3. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: July 15, 2021

DATE: July 15, 2021

Phillip Talbert United States Attorney

/s/ James Conolly JAMES CONOLLY Assistant U.S. Attorney

/s/ Dina Santos

DINA SANTOS

28

Attorney for Ausencio Alvarez Cortez

1	DATE: July 15, 2021	
2	/s/ Adam Pennella ADAM PENNELLA	
3	Attorney for Angel Ismael Ramirez	
4		
5		
6		
7	<u>ORDER</u>	
8	The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Excludable Time	
9	Period Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter. The Court hereby	
10	finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,	
11	demonstrates facts that provide good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to	
12	the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.	
13	The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance	
14	outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; and (ii) failure to	
15	grant the continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for	
16	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
17	Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy	
18	Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which	
19	trial must commence.	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	V V V	
23	Dated: July 16, 2021	
24	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge	
25	= ===== = = = ==== = = = = = = = = = =	
26		
27		

28